

Week Fourteen Summary:

Once we've taken a look at how Genesis describes the relationship between men and women, a very common response is: "Isn't there another way to look at it?" You are not alone if you're wondering that. This week we attempted to answer some common "Questions from Genesis."

- Q: Didn't the roles described in Genesis result from the Fall? In other words, roles weren't God's original plan; roles are part of life being "messed up." Shouldn't we try to get to a place where the roles aren't needed?
- A: In fact, the man and the woman received their Head/Helper assignments before the Fall, not after it. It was the failure to fulfill these roles that resulted in their fall into sin.
- Q: Aren't the biblical roles described in Genesis cultural and time-bound?
- A: Actually, the roles communicated in Genesis were repeated and applied centuries later by the New Testament writers. In fact, they used the Genesis story as transculturally authoritative rather than cultural.
- Q: Maybe that's what the Bible says, but what does the "reality" of history and sociology teach us?
- A: In reality, history and sociology track pretty closely with what we see in Genesis.
- Q: But, haven't there been women-led cultures called "matriarchies" in the past?
- A: No. Although there are examples of "matrilineal" societies—the group's genealogy is traced through the women—there have been no true matriarchal societies.
- Q: Wouldn't it be *possible* (and a good idea) to have a culture where men and women were the same and shared all responsibilities 50/50?
- A: In recent history, there have been a couple of social experiments with this goal in mind—Soviet Communism and the Israeli Kibbutz. It didn't go well.

So, if the model for relationships that we see in Genesis is valid, what are some conclusions we can draw from the past two lessons?

- Men were created by God to be spiritual and social leaders. Women were created by God to be necessary helpers and completers. When we abandon or reverse these roles, chaos ensues.
- Both men's and women's roles carry specific responsibilities before God. Men are to shoulder responsibility and provide leadership; women are to bring their nurturing, life-giving strengths to partner and complete.
- The Fall corrupted both the man's leadership and the woman's helpfulness. As a result
 we see men who are passive and negligent, selfish and dominant, or abusive and
 dangerous. And, we see women who are passive and dependent, emotional and
 manipulative, or controlling and adversarial.
- Spiritual redemption, a renewed perspective, and radical commitment to Jesus Christ can restore the invaluable feminine identity lost in the Fall.

The question before us as women is: are we bringing our "invaluable femininity" to our relationships and our society in a way that calls and encourages those in our sphere of influence, particularly men, to become their best selves? Are we "building up" or "tearing down" the very things and people we love and value?

